PEDIATRACS®

Obesity at the Onset of Diabetes in an Ethnically Diverse Population of Children: What Does It Mean for Epidemiologists and Clinicians? Rebecca B. Lipton, Melinda Drum, Deborah Burnet, Barry Rich, Andrew Cooper, Elizabeth Baumann and William Hagopian *Pediatrics* 2005;115;e553-e560 DOI: 10.1542/peds.2004-1448

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at: http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/115/5/e553

PEDIATRICS is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. PEDIATRICS is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2005 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0031-4005. Online ISSN: 1098-4275.



Downloaded from www.pediatrics.org at Societa Italiana di Pediatria on April 27, 2008

Obesity at the Onset of Diabetes in an Ethnically Diverse Population of Children: What Does It Mean for Epidemiologists and Clinicians?

Rebecca B. Lipton, PhD, MPH, RN*‡; Melinda Drum, PhD‡; Deborah Burnet, MD, MSc*; Barry Rich, MD*; Andrew Cooper, BA*; Elizabeth Baumann, MD*; and William Hagopian, MD, PhD§

ABSTRACT. *Objective*. It is often difficult to determine the pathophysiology of childhood diabetes at onset, particularly in overweight children, because obesity has been associated with both type 1 and type 2 diabetes. We compared children at the diagnosis of diabetes in a multiethnic population-based registry to understand the epidemiology of the disease during a time of rapidly changing diagnostic and treatment norms.

Methods. Incident diabetes was ascertained in Chicagoans who were aged 0 to 17 years from 1985 to 2001. We classified as type 2 those with polycystic ovary syndrome, acanthosis, or a physician's note indicating type 2 or those who reported subsequent use of oral agents (n =203); 73% of them were also obese. Patients with obesity at onset but no other indicator of possible type 2 (n = 197) were classified as having obesity-related/undetermined type. The remaining 842 cases were classified as type 1. Logistic regression analyses were conducted.

Results. Fully 32% of cases were classified as nontype 1, including 37% of non-Hispanic blacks, 30% of Latinos, and 14% of non-Hispanic whites. The proportion of obesity-undetermined and type 2 increased over the 17 years. Comparing the 3 patient groups, type 2 cases were more often female, non-Hispanic black, and older and had a first-degree diabetic relative, whereas Latino boys were overrepresented among the obese/undetermined.

Conclusion. Obesity is prevalent in youths with newly diagnosed diabetes, particularly during recent years. The growth in non-type 1 diabetes in children since 1985 likely reflects both a true increase and greater physician awareness of the possibility that type 2 diabetes may occur in children. *Pediatrics* 2005;115:e553–e560. URL: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2004-1448; *epidemiology, type 1 diabetes, type 2 diabetes, children and adolescents, ethnic minorities, obesity, diagnosis, incidence.*

ABBREVIATIONS. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

From the Departments of *Pediatrics and ‡Health Studies, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois; and §Pacific Northwest Research Institute, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington.

Accepted for publication Nov 22, 2004.

doi:10.1542/peds.2004-1448

No conflict of interest declared.

Address correspondence to Rebecca B. Lipton, PhD, MPH, RN, Department of Pediatrics, University of Chicago Pritzker School of Medicine, 5841 S Maryland Ave, M/C 1027, Chicago, IL 60637. E-mail: lipton@uchicago.edu PEDIATRICS (ISSN 0031 4005). Copyright © 2005 by the American Academy of Pediatrics.

ver the past decade, major changes have taken place in the diagnostic norms for childhood diabetes. Concurrently, the prevalence of childhood overweight, a hypothesized risk factor for type 1 and especially for type 2 diabetes, has increased dramatically across the developed world. Investigators in the United States and elsewhere have observed a marked increase in the diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in youth during the past few years, disproportionately affecting minority young people (Native Americans, Latinos and non-Hispanic blacks).^{1,2} However, many children who develop type 2 diabetes are severely ill at onset and indistinguishable on clinical grounds from those with classic type 1 diabetes.³ Our group used available criteria to tentatively distinguish patients with youth-onset type 2 diabetes in a population-based registry; clinical studies conducted on average 8 years after diagnosis showed that a substantial fraction of those who were classified with type 2 displayed features of type 1 diabetes, ie, absent β -cell function, autoantibodies, and/or type 1 diabetes-related HLA-DQ alleles.⁴ In addition, reports from Europe^{5–7} have linked early childhood obesity with type 1 diabetes, suggesting that youth-onset diabetes may often result from an interplay of autoimmunity and type 2 risk factors. Specifically, overnutrition may play a role in stimulating or prolonging autoimmune insulitis.5,8 The body of knowledge on these relationships in non-European-origin children is extremely limited, despite the fact that these ethnic groups carry an increased risk for type 2 diabetes. It is essential to understand the role of obesity at the onset of diabetes in young people for both clinical and research purposes.

The Chicago Childhood Diabetes Registry includes patients of African and Latino descent, as well as non-Hispanic whites. First, we sought to detect any clear differences at diagnosis between those who were classified as having type 1 and type 2 diabetes, excluding those who were obese alone. Second, we evaluated those who were obese at the time of diagnosis, without other indications of type 2 diabetes, to determine which of the other 2 diagnostic groups they most closely resembled. Because diagnostic norms for childhood diabetes have changed greatly since the first incident cases were ascertained in 1985, an important question is whether there are discernible temporal differences in the frequency of type 1, type 2, and obesity-related/undetermined type diabetes.

METHODS

This report presents sociodemographic characteristics and clinical information from medical records at the time of diagnosis, as well as self-reported traits from interviews, in non-Hispanic black and Latino diabetic children who were aged 0 to 17 at disease onset and whose diabetes was diagnosed between 1985 and 2001; non-Hispanic white children were included from 1992.

The Chicago Childhood Diabetes Registry is a city-wide registry of patients who have diabetes and were 0 to 17 years of age at initial diagnosis; the primary source of ascertainment is hospital records, augmented by outpatient sources as described previously in detail.9,10 Briefly, eligible cases are identified by review of medical records at 37 of the 40 area hospitals that have a pediatrics ward and at least 200 total inpatient beds; patients are included if they were diagnosed from January 1, 1985, and were a resident of Chicago at the time of diagnosis. When diagnosed before January 1, 1992, only children who were classified as non-Hispanic black or Latino and were discharged on insulin treatment were registered. However, it should be noted that before the mid-1990s, virtually every patient who developed diabetes before the age of 18 was discharged from the hospital on insulin. More recently, all young Chicago residents with a diagnosis of diabetes were included, irrespective of ethnic group or initial treatment, although the number of children who were not initially treated with insulin continues to be extremely small. Ethnicity is defined as being listed as non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, or Latino on the medical record and/or having a surname classified as Hispanic by the US Census Bureau.¹¹ Those with a Hispanic surname but classified as non-Hispanic white or non-Hispanic black on the medical record are considered to be Latino. The data set also includes 14 subjects classified as "other" ethnicity (primarily Asian); these subjects were omitted, although analyses including this subgroup yielded nearly identical results to those reported here.

Camp lists from the Northern Illinois Affiliate of the American Diabetes Association, a survey of unaffiliated neighborhood clinics, medical chart review at 16 clinics maintained by the Chicago Department of Health, and the payment database of the Illinois Department of Public Aid were used as secondary sources of cases. Overall completeness of ascertainment was estimated to be 85% for the years 1985–1999 using the capture-mark-recapture method.¹²

Medical records from the initial diabetes hospitalization were available for 1242 (77.7%) of the cases; these patients form the study group for this report. Those with onset records were not different from the remainder of patients for most relevant variables (gender, ethnicity, family history), although fewer patients classified as having type 1 diabetes had available onset records (76% vs 81% of non–type 1 patients; P = .021). Those with onset records were somewhat younger at diagnosis (mean \pm SD: 10.7 \pm 4.5 vs 11.6 \pm 4.3 years of age; P = .002), and they were diagnosed, on average, 1 year earlier (P < .001).

A subset of patients (n = 262) was contacted and interviewed on average 5.7 years after diagnosis. There was no formal randomization procedure to select those who were interviewed, but these patients did not differ from the remaining patients on relevant variables. Fewer patients with obesity but no other indication of possible type 2 diabetes were interviewed, and those who were interviewed were on average 1 year younger at the time of their diagnosis (9.6 ± 4.6 [SD] years) compared with those who were not interviewed (11.0 ± 4.4 years; P < .001). In addition, fewer non-Hispanic whites and those with onset of disease after 1999 have been interviewed because they were more recently ascertained. Human Subjects Research committees at the University of Chicago and the other participating institutions city-wide approved the study protocol. Written informed consent was obtained from participants before the telephone interview.

Exposure and outcome measures were abstracted from medical records and derived from patient/family interviews. We distinguished a group of 203 probable type 2 patients from the body of registered cases on the basis of documentation in the medical record of 1 or more of the following: a physician note of "possible type 2," "unusual," or "atypical" diabetes (n = 166); a diagnosis of acanthosis nigricans (n = 40) or polycystic ovary syndrome (n = 166) and the statement of the following syndrome (n = 160) and the statement of the following syndrome (n = 160) and the statement of the following syndrome (n = 160) and the statement of the syndrome (n = 160) and the statement of the syndrome (n = 160) and the statement of the syndrome (n = 160) and the syndrome (n = 100 an

4); or initial treatment with oral antidiabetic agents. In addition, those who responded positively to 1 or more specific questions during the interview were considered likely to have type 2. These questions elicited information on cessation of insulin use after the "honeymoon" period (for >2 weeks and at >6 months after initial diagnosis; n = 24), as well as on current treatment with any oral antidiabetic agent (n = 18). Patients were classified as having obesity-related/undetermined type diabetes when they had a clinical note on the medical record indicating obesity (n = 133) or a body mass index (BMI) \geq 95th percentile for their gender and age in months (n = 122), using current Centers for Disease Control and Prevention growth charts,13 but no other indicator of possible type 2 diabetes. For brevity, we refer to this classification as "obese/ undetermined" type. One child who was aged 8 months and 21 patients whose height was not recorded on the chart exceeded the 95th percentile for age- and gender-specific weight; 11 of these patients had no other indicators of type 2 diabetes. Those who did not meet 1 or more of the criteria above were considered to have type 1 diabetes (Fig 1).

Sources of medical care were classified as tertiary care institutions when they had a specialized pediatric endocrinology clinic and were directly associated with 1 of the local medical schools (Children's Memorial Hospital, Christ Hospital, Cook County Hospital, Evanston Hospital, Loyola University Medical Center, Michael Reese Hospital, Rush-Presbyterian-St. Luke's Medical Center, University of Chicago Children's Hospital-LaRabida, or University of Illinois Hospital). All other hospitals and clinics were considered to be community facilities. The purpose of examining this variable was to discern whether there were differences in physicians' diagnostic practices by where they practiced. Furthermore, an earlier analysis by our group¹⁴ showed significantly lower risk for being rehospitalized after the initial diagnosis for young patients whose diabetes was diagnosed at tertiary care institutions, compared with those whose diabetes was diagnosed in community settings. Rehospitalization after initial diagnosis is generally considered to indicate inadequate routine diabetes management.

Data Collection and Statistical Methods

Incidence data were abstracted from medical records by research assistants onto paper forms or, more recently, handheld electronic devices (Palm IIIx). Trained interviewers conducted telephone interviews using a standardized protocol. Univariate associations between diabetes categories and patient characteristics were tested using χ^2 and t tests using an unadjusted α of .05 as the significance level; then multiple logistic regression analysis was conducted. Separate multivariable logistic models were fit for type 1 versus type 2, type 1 versus obese, and type 2 versus obese, because we expected different predictors in each model. Variables with P < .20 in univariate models were entered as potential covariates in multivariable models, with variable selection based on the likelihood ratio criterion. Gender was included in all models, and all models were adjusted for year of onset and tertiary versus community hospital diagnosis. χ^2 tests for trend were used to identify secular changes in classification patterns. Patients



Fig 1. Criteria for classifying youths as having type 1, obese/ undetermined type, or type 2 diabetes.

TABLE 1. Type 1, Type 2, and Obese/Undetermined Type Diabetes in Chicago Youth 0 to 17 Years Old, According to Ethnicity

	Type 1	Non-Type 1 Diabetes		Total
		Obese/ Undetermined	Type 2	
Non-Hispanic black, <i>n</i> (%)	458 (62.6)	119 (16.3)	155 (21.2)	732
Latino, n (%)	250 (70.4)	65 (18.3)	40 (11.3)	355
Non-Hispanic white, n (%)	134 (86.5)	13 (8.4)	8 (5.2)	155
Total, % obese*	842 (0)	197 (100)	203 (73.4)	1242 (27.9)

* Obesity is defined as body mass index \geq 95th age- and gender-specific percentile or diagnosis on medical record.

whose information regarding onset signs and symptoms was unavailable in the medical records were omitted from the analysis.

RESULTS

Overall, the majority of cases, 68%, were classified as having type 1 diabetes (Table 1). Of those who were classified as non-type 1, the patients who were obese without other type 2 characteristics made up 16% of non-Hispanic black, 18% of Latino, and 8% of non-Hispanic white cases, whereas 21% of non-Hispanic black, 11% of Latino, and 5% of non-Hispanic white cases were type 2 (P < .001). Of the 203 patients who were classified as having type 2 diabetes, 149 (73%) were also obese.

For comparability, time trends were evaluated for non-Hispanic blacks and Latinos only, ie, those with the full 17 years of data, 1985-2001 (Fig 2). The distribution of categories changed over time (Fig 2A), with fewer children receiving a diagnosis of type 1 and more with type 2 diabetes in recent years (P < .001). The proportion of obese/undetermined patients also increased over time (P = .022) but at a slower rate than type 2. The proportion of all children who had diabetes and were obese at the time of diagnosis, including those identified as type 2 (Fig 2B), increased dramatically over the study years (P <.001). Finally, the absolute number of cases increased over time, from an average of 52 cases per year in the first 5 years of the study, 1985–1989, to an average of 64 per year in 1995–1999, again considering only non-Hispanic blacks and Latinos (Fig 2A).

Comparing Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes: Univariate Associations

There were more non-Hispanic blacks and girls in the type 2 group (Table 2), and their diabetes was diagnosed, on average, more recently than those with type 1. The average age at diagnosis was \sim 4 years younger (9.7; SD: 4.6) for type 1 patients, compared with those with type 2, whose average age was 13.6 years (SD: 2.9) at diagnosis.

The medical records of approximately one fourth of the type 1 patients and more than half of those with type 2 diabetes indicated a first-degree relative with diabetes. The type 2 patients were more likely to have asthma (15.3% vs 10.5% in type 1 patients), hypertension (8.4% vs 1.4%), hyperlipidemia (3.0% vs 0.8%), or a learning disability (5.4% vs 2.5%), listed as a comorbidity on the medical record, but no more likely to have a psychiatric diagnosis or sickle cell trait. More of those with type 2 diabetes used Medicaid or had no health insurance at all, but they were no less likely to have received a diagnosis at a tertiary care hospital (Table 2).

The severity of onset was greater among the type 1 patients, although substantial morbidity was found in the type 2 patients as well, on the basis of their signs and symptoms as recorded in the hospital charts (Table 2). Forty percent of type 2 and 62.4% of type 1 patients had a diagnosis of diabetic ketoacidosis; the mean initial pH values were correspondingly higher and the mean glucose values were lower among the type 1 patients than among those with type 1. The type 1 patients were more likely to have had polyuria and marginally more likely to have had polydipsia documented on the onset record but no more likely than the type 2 patients to have had polyphagia.

Comparing Obese/Undetermined Type Diabetes With Type 1 and Type 2: Univariate Associations

We found that the obese/undetermined patients were intermediate between the type 1 and type 2 patients in many of their demographic features and onset signs and symptoms (Table 3). Fully one third of the obese/undetermined group were of Latino ethnicity (Fig 3, Table 3). The prevalence of a firstdegree relative with diabetes was statistically similar for the obesity-related and the type 2 groups (47%) and 55%, respectively). The obese/undetermined group resembled those with type 1 diabetes with respect to their mean initial pH values, whereas their mean initial glucose values were not statistically different from the type 2 patients. On the medical records, documented weight loss, polyuria, polydipsia, and polyphagia were not statistically different when comparing the obese/undetermined cases with the other 2 groups. In general, severe onset signs and symptoms were present in the majority of patients, irrespective of category. Significantly fewer obese/undetermined type children received a diagnosis at a tertiary care hospital, compared with both the type 1 (P = .017) and type 2 (P = .024) patient groups (Table 3). This suggests differences in diagnostic practices between community-based physicians and those who are affiliated with academic centers.

Multivariate Models I: Comparing Type 1 and Type 2

Multiple logistic regression models were constructed, with the type 1 patients serving as the ref-



Fig 2. A, Distribution of type 1, obese/undetermined type, and type 2 diabetes by year, non-Hispanic black and Latino children aged 0 to 17. B, Proportion of type 2 diabetes patients with coexisting obesity (defined as having a chart notation of obesity or exceeding the age- and gender-specific 95th percentile for body mass index) by year, non-Hispanic black and Latino children aged 0 to 17.

erence category and the obese/undetermined group excluded. Significant demographic predictors of type 2 phenotype were female gender (65% increased odds), age at onset (28% increased odds per year), and having a first-degree relative with diabetes noted on the medical records (odds ratio [OR]: 2.6; Table 4). Both non-Hispanic whites and Latinos were less likely to be in the type 2 group than were non-Hispanic blacks (OR: 0.17 and 0.47, respectively). When clinical findings and symptoms were added to the model, the demographic variables were retained, although female gender was only marginally significant (P = .077). In addition, the presence of hypertension was associated with type 2 diabetes. The presence of diabetic ketoacidosis, 2 or more of 3 classic symptoms (weight loss, polyuria, and polydipsia), and a higher initial glucose value were associated with having type 1 diabetes (Table 4). Both models were adjusted for the year of diagnosis and the type of hospital where the patient was first seen (community or tertiary care facility). The odds of type 2 increased significantly from one year to the next, by 15% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 11% to 20%) in the demographics-only model and by 7% per year (95% CI: 1% to 13%) in the full model. Patients who received a diagnosis in a tertiary care facility were more likely to have type 2 than type 1 (OR: 1.7; 95% CI: 1.1 to 2.7 in the demographics-only model; OR: 2.0; 95% CI: 1.1 to 3.5 in the full model). The Hosmer-Lemeshow test demonstrated acceptable goodness of fit for the models.

Multivariate Models II: Comparing Type 1 and Type 2 With Obese/Undetermined Type Diabetes

We constructed separate mutivariate models comparing the type 1 and type 2 patients with the obese/ undetermined group as the reference category, again controlling for the year of diagnosis and the type of

	Type 1	Type 2	P Value
N (male/female)	842 (423/419)	203 (82/121)	.012
Age at diagnosis, y, mean (SD)	9.7 (4.6)	13.6 (2.9)	<.001
Ethnicity, n (%)			
Non-Hispanic black	458 (54.4)	155 (76.4)	<.001
Latino	250 (29.7)	40 (19.7)	
Non-Hispanic white	134 (15.9)	8 (3.9)	
Year of onset, mean (SD)	1993.6 (4.8)	1995.7 (4.3)	<.001
Parent and/or sibling with diabetes, %	25.1	55.0	<.001
Chronic conditions recorded on the			
medical record, %			
Asthma	10.5	15.3	.052
Hypertension	1.4	8.4	<.001
Hyperlipidemia	0.8	3.0	.014
Sickle cell trait	2.3	1.0	.247
Learning disability	2.5	5.4	.030
Any psychiatric diagnosis	3.7	4.9	.412
Medicaid or no health insurance	47.7	56.7	.031
Diagnosed at tertiary care hospital	72.1	74.0	.597
Onset of signs and symptoms*			
Diabetic ketoacidosis, %	62.4	40.1	<.001
Weight loss, %	71.9	56.0	<.001
Polyuria, %	92.9	88.3	.046
Polydipsia, %	91.1	86.5	.070
Polyphagia, %	28.2	30.7	.542
Glucose, mmol/L, mean (SD)	32.5 (16.1)	26.7 (17.8)	<.001
Arterial pH, mean (SD)	7.27 (0.12)	7.31 (0.11)	<.001

TABLE 2. Demographic and Clinical Features of Types 1 and 2 Diabetes in Youth 0 to 17 Years Old

* Obese/undetermined type was excluded (n = 197).

	Type 1	Obese	Type 2	P V	alue
				Obese vs Type 1	Obese vs Type 2
N (male/female)	842 (423/419)	197 (90/107)	203 (82/121)	.250	.285
Age at diagnosis, y, mean (SD) Ethnicity, n (%)	9.7 (4.6)	12.5 (3.5)	13.6 (2.9)	<.001	.002
Non-Hispanic black	458 (54.4)	119 (60.4)	155 (76.4)	.003	.003
Latino	250 (26.7)	65 (33.0)	40 (19.7)		
Non-Hispanic white	134 (15.9)	13 (6.6)	8 (3.9)		
Year of onset, mean (SD)	1993.6 (4.8)	1994.6 (4.7)	1995.7 (4.3)	.009	.014
Parent and/or sibling with diabetes, %	25.1	47.0	55.0	<.001	.121
Chronic conditions recorded on the medical record, %					
Asthma	10.5	13.7	15.3	.190	.657
Hypertension	1.4	7.1	8.4	< .001	.635
Hyperlipidemia	0.8	1.0	3.0	.802	.166
Sickle cell trait	2.3	2.0	1.0	.846	.390
Learning disability	2.5	1.5	5.4	.414	.034
Any psychiatric diagnosis	3.7	8.1	4.9	.007	.195
Medicaid or no health insurance, %	47.7	54.4	56.7	.114	.675
Diagnosed at tertiary care hospital, %	72.1	63.4	74.0	.017	.024
Onset of signs and symptoms*					
Diabetic ketoacidosis, %	62.4	52.9	40.1	.031	.025
Weight loss, %	71.9	65.0	56.0	.103	.117
Polyuria, %	92.9	91.7	88.3	.570	.303
Polydipsia, %	91.1	89.8	86.5	.604	.342
Polyphagia, %	28.2	28.4	30.7	.957	.665
Glucose, mmol/L, mean (SD)	32.5 (16.1)	29.2 (16.6)	26.7 (17.8)	.021	.181
Arterial pH, mean (SD)	7.27 (0.12)	7.27 (0.12)	7.31 (0.11)	.99	.003

* Obese/undetermined type excluded (n = 197).

health care facility where the patient first received a diagnosis. These models also fit the data reasonably well when tested.

Comparing the type 1 patients with the obese/ undetermined group, younger age at onset and non-Hispanic white ethnicity were significantly predictive of type 1 (Table 5), whereas having a first-degree relative with diabetes and the presence of hypertension were more likely in the obese/undetermined group. The odds for type 1 compared with obese/ undetermined type decreased significantly from one year to the next, by 8% (95% CI: 4% to 11%); there was no difference in having received a diagnosis in a tertiary care versus community hospital (OR: 1.1; 95% CI: 0.8 to 1.6).

When compared with the obese/undetermined





 TABLE 4.
 Multivariate Predictors of Type 2 Versus Type 1 Diabetes in Youths

Variable	Contrast	OR	95% CI
Demographic variables only $(N = 961)^*$			
Female gender	vs male gender	1.65	1.13 to 2.42
Age at onset	Per year	1.28	1.21 to 1.35
Latino ethnicity	vs non-Hispanic black	0.47	0.29 to 0.74
Non-Hispanic white ethnicity	vs non-Hispanic black	0.17	0.08 to 0.40
Parent and/or sibling with diabetes	vs neither	2.82	1.93 to 4.12
Demographic variables plus signs and symptoms $(N = 660)^*$			
Female gender	vs male gender	1.57	0.96 to 2.55
Age at onset	Per year	1.28	1.20 to 1.38
Latino ethnicity	vs non-Hispanic black	0.38	0.21 to 0.70
Non-Hispanic white ethnicity	vs non-Hispanic black	0.15	0.05 to 0.41
Parent and/or sibling with diabetes	vs neither	2.61	1.60 to 4.28
Hypertension	Present vs absent	6.46	2.04 to 20.5
Diabetic ketoacidosis	Present vs absent	0.55	0.33 to 0.92
Weight loss, polyuria, polydipsia	At least 2 vs none or 1	0.39	0.17 to 0.90
Initial glucose value	Per 10 mmol/L	0.71	0.58 to 0.87

* Obese group was excluded. The data were adjusted for year of diagnosis and type of hospital (community versus tertiary care facility). Additional candidate variables were asthma, hyperlipidemia, learning disability, and arterial pH.

patients, the type 2 patients were older at onset, less likely to be of Latino ethnicity, and less likely to have had diabetic ketoacidosis (Table 5). The odds for type 2 compared with obese/undetermined type did not change significantly over time (annual change: 3%; 95% CI: -3% to 9%). The type 2 patients were significantly more likely to have received a diagnosis in a tertiary care versus community hospital (OR: 1.9; 95% CI: 1.1 to 3.3).

DISCUSSION

We present data on a large group of patients ascertained from the entire community so that they represent those who were seen at tertiary care facilities and those who were treated by communitybased primary care physicians. These young people received diagnoses over a 17-year period of marked change both in the prevalence of overweight among children^{1,2} and in clinical diagnostic and treatment norms. Specifically, there was an increase in the recognition that type 2 diabetes can occur among young people: physicians were much more likely to have considered a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in children after the mid-1990s, when the first reports of this phenomenon appeared in the literature.^{1,15,16} This report includes the largest number of minority children in any US study and the largest number of African-origin children in the world. It also covers a longer period of time than most population-based studies. The value of this study is, first, that it includes enough cases to allow subgroup analysis and also that the clinical features associated with type 2 and obese/undetermined type diabetes in previous small clinical series' were examined in a large, unselected cohort representing children of diverse ethnic backgrounds. Other US studies of type 2 diabetes in youths are clinic series rather than populationbased and therefore subject to even more bias from selective referrals, time trends in diagnostic practice, etc.

The population at risk, Chicagoans aged 0 to 17 years, has remained relatively constant over the

 TABLE 5.
 Multivariate Predictors of Type 1 and 2 Versus Obese/Undetermined Type Diabetes in Youths

Variable	Contrast	OR	95% CI
Type 1 vs obesity-related diabetes $(N = 954)^*$			
Female gender	vs male gender	0.78	0.55 to 1.11
Age at onset	Per year	0.86	0.82 to 0.90
Latino ethnicity	vs non-Hispanic black	0.96	0.65 to 1.42
Non-Hispanic white ethnicity	vs non-Hispanic black	2.53	1.27 to 5.03
Parent and/or sibling with diabetes	vs neither	0.46	0.32 to 0.66
Hypertension	Present vs absent	0.21	0.08 to 0.54
Type 2 vs obesity-related diabetes ($N = 300$)†			
Female gender	vs male gender	1.38	0.85 to 2.25
Age at onset	Per year	1.09	1.01 to 1.18
Latino ethnicity	vs non-Hispanic black	0.51	0.29 to 0.90
Non-Hispanic white ethnicity	vs non-Hispanic black	0.41	0.13 to 1.28
Diabetic ketoacidosis	Present vs absent	0.57	0.35 to 0.91

* Type 2 group was excluded. The data were adjusted for year of diagnosis and community versus tertiary care facility. Additional candidate variables were gender, asthma, any psychiatric diagnosis, initial glucose value, diabetic ketoacidosis, and weight loss.

† Type 1 was group excluded. The data were adjusted for year of diagnosis, community versus tertiary care facility. Additional candidate variables were gender, first-degree relative with diabetes, hyper-lipidemia, learning disability, any psychiatric diagnosis, weight loss, initial glucose value, and arterial PH.

study years.¹⁷ Nonetheless, the absolute number of non-Hispanic black and Latino children who have received a diagnosis of diabetes has increased over time (Fig 2A), as has the proportion of children who are overweight or obese at the onset of the disease.¹⁰ In Chicago, the increase in the proportion of youths who have received a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes directly mirrors a decline in the proportion of those with type 1 (Fig 2A). The proportion in the obese/ undetermined category increased as well over time but more gradually. This suggests that not all of the change is attributable to heightened awareness of type 2 diabetes in youths: at least some young people may have a distinct phenotype combining features of both autoimmunity and insulin resistance. Our findings concur with those of the Allegheny County Registry, documenting a rise in obesity at diagnosis among insulin-treated young people over a 20-year period that includes most of the years of this report¹⁸ and suggesting a heterogeneous etiology.¹⁹

Our study includes patient groups whose diabetes etiology is uncertain, such as patients who were assigned as type 2 because of clinician doubts (eg, "atypical," "unusual") but with 1 or more factors usually associated with type 1 (age <18 at diagnosis, abrupt onset of severe symptoms). Obesity is not known to protect from autoimmunity, and type 1 diabetes incidence is slowly rising across the world.^{20,21} Therefore, the decline in type 1 diagnosis observed in Chicago may be an artifact of clinicians' assigning uncertain status to any patient with signs of insulin resistance. Although our study does not include every possible clinical correlate of diabetes etiologic type (eg, ultimate insulin dose required in U/kg per day, probable type of diabetes in family members with diabetes), it is likely that these alone would not provide sufficient information to significantly improve the classification. We therefore have initiated clinical studies to characterize more definitively the groups of young patients in Chicago using highly sensitive assays for multiple type 1 diabetes autoantibodies, genetic markers, and measures of endogenous insulin production.

We used the data available from medical records in a population-based epidemiologic study, supplemented by self-reported information, to categorize the diabetic phenotype of these young people. There were more similarities than differences between those with typical type 1, obese/undetermined type, and early-onset type 2 diabetes, confirming the many clinical reports that it is not easy to distinguish the diabetic phenotype in children at the time of diagnosis.^{15,16,19,20} The obese/undetermined group was more likely to be treated in a community facility or by a community practitioner than either those with type 1 or type 2 diabetes, highlighting variations in diagnostic norms between community- and academically based practitioners.

There are several limitations to the current study. First, patients who were not hospitalized or those who were not treated with insulin (before 1992) would have been missed; although virtually all pediatric patients in that era were discharged from the hospital on insulin, those who were not would have been children with less severe onset of symptoms. The registry study did not include non-Hispanic white and children of other ethnic backgrounds until 1992. Ascertainment for the most recent years, since 2000, is incomplete. Another difficulty is that limited and inconsistent data were available, a result of using medical records from 37 different institutions. For this reason, we considered it important to control for both year of diagnosis and the type of hospital setting in which the diagnosis was made. Because the assignment to diagnostic category was based in part on subsequent treatment as reported by patients, those who were not interviewed are less likely to be included in the type 2 group. Additional biases are possible, because the analysis was restricted to those with onset records, who were somewhat younger at diagnosis and, on average, received a diagnosis 1 year earlier in time, thus rendering them less likely to

have had type 2 diabetes. It is reassuring to note that temporal trends in diagnosis that were similar to these were observed among patients who attended the 3 university-based diabetes centers in Florida.²² Finally, although this is the largest study of its kind in the United States, diabetes in youths is a relatively infrequent outcome, so the actual numbers of cases is small. Thus, the possibility of type II error remains, particularly for the subgroup analyses.

The role of obesity in the etiology of childhood diabetes is still unclear. For epidemiologists, this indicates the need to collect as much onset data as possible, in a consistent manner, to phenotype cases correctly. Furthermore, clinicians must recognize that a substantial fraction of young patients may have features of both type 1 and type 2 diabetes at onset and that the optimal treatment for these individuals will change over time. We have initiated clinical studies to characterize more definitively the range of youth-onset diabetes in Chicago, measuring autoantibodies, genetic markers, and endogenous insulin production; we anticipate that the current report will stimulate descriptive epidemiologic and clinical studies of childhood diabetes elsewhere. Diabetes in youth creates a substantial social burden on both the family and the community, which is likely worsened in underserved populations. Increased understanding of the etiology and epidemiology of diabetes in youth can lead to improved quality of care.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grants R29DK44752, R01DK44752, and M01RR13987 and would not have been possible without the patients and families who participate in the Chicago Childhood Diabetes Registry. The efforts of the Chicago Childhood Diabetes Study Group are gratefully acknowledged.

Participants in the Chicago Childhood Diabetes Study Group were: G. Good, R. Kendrick, E. Sciammarella, and S. Whitman (Chicago Department of Public Health); M. Bousefield (City of Chicago Department of Planning); A. Dekker and K. Suarez (Chi-cago Osteopathic Hospital); W. Brickman, J. Daaboul, S. Goodman, and M. Klein-Gitelman; B. Pries, G. Richards, B. Silverman, and D. Zimmermann (Children's Memorial Hospital); P. Mueller, G. Schroeder, and F. Ziai (Christ Hospital); R. O'Mara, A. Pellerano, H. Weiss, and L. Yu (Catholic Health Partners); J. Duff, L. Fogelfeld, R. Pildes, H.-J. Rhim, M. Sullivan, and M. Weigensberg (Cook County Hospital); S. Duck and D. Edidin (Evanston Hospital); H. Friedman, F. Medelli, and D. Tibbs (Gottlieb Hospital); S. Drunga and K. Sawyer (Grant Hospital); C. Abraira (Hines VA Hospital); A. Schneider and E. Shelton (Humana-Michael Reese Hospital); M. Winters (Illinois Association of School Nurses); A. Taft (Illinois Department of Public Aid); C. Nandi, M. Nelson, and V. Williams (Illinois Department of Public Health); M. Martin (Ingalls Memorial Hospital); A. Sion, P. Friedell, and M. Suggs (Jackson Park Hospital); A. Hagger and K. Reed (LaGrange Memorial Hospital); J. Baron, D. Nyland, and K. Vellodi (Little Company of Mary); G. Callahan and P. Krautwald (Loretto Hospital); F. Hauck, M. Gottschalk, and W.P. Zeller (Loyola University Medical Center); E. Baumann, M. Chertack, K. Ghai, and W. Maurer (Lutheran General Hospital); D. Pierzchala (Macneal Hospital); C. Menendez (Mercy, Illinois Masonic Hospitals); D. Ansell, P. Butler, D. Cintron, R. Levin, and P. Mukundan (Mount Sinai Hospital); R. Ramsay-Goldman, A. Kohrman, L. Pachman, and T. Pitts (Northwestern University); G. Northrup (Norwegian-American Hospital); E. Constein (Oak Park, Westlake Hospitals); T. Kenar (Our Lady of Resurrection Hospital); M. Jacob (Ravenswood Hospital); A. Davis, N. Dumbovik, M. Kreiter, and R. Levy (Rush-Presbyterian-St. Luke's Hospital); S. Lambert and T. Cardona (St Elizabeth's Hospital); L. Czarkowski and H. Gottesman (St Francis Hospital, Evanston); B. Pulliam (St James Hospital, Blue Island); J. Gilden and A. Llano (St Mary of Nazareth Hospital); B. Danielczyk and M. Lang (South Suburban Hospital); M. Oviedo (Swedish Covenant Hospital); R. Cunningham, B. Price, and J. Schwartz (EHS Trinity Hospital); R. Briars, J. Cara, L. Cole, E. Ekwo, C. Ober, G. Bell, R. Rosenfield, I. Rosenthal, and F. Thorp (University of Chicago Hospitals); and I. Brodsky, Y.T. Chang, B. Gerber, K. Herold, and F. Ziai (University of Illinois at Chicago Hospital).

REFERENCES

- Pinhas-Hamiel O, Dolan LM, Daniels SR, Standiford D, Khoury PR, Zeitler P. Increased incidence of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus among adolescents. J Pediatr. 1996;128:608–615
- Fagot-Campagna A, Pettitt DJ, Engelgau MM, et al. Type II diabetes among North American children and adolescents: an epidemiologic review and a public health perspective. J Pediatr. 2000;136:664–672
- Pinhas-Hamiel O, Dolan LM, Zeitler P. Diabetic ketoacidosis among obese African-American adolescents with NIDDM. *Diabetes Care*. 1997; 20:484–486
- Lipton RB, Hagopian W, Nichol L, Baumann E, Rich B, Drum M. Can basic epidemiologic information distinguish type 2 from type 1 diabetes in minority children [abstract]? *Diabetes*. 2002;51(suppl 2):A232
- Wilkin TJ. For debate—the accelerator hypothesis: weight gain as the missing link between type 1 and type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia*. 2001;44: 914–922
- Johansson C, Samuelsson U, Ludvigsson J. A high weight gain early in life is associated with an increased risk of type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetes. *Diabetologia*. 1994;37:91–94
- Hypponen E, Virtanen SM, Kenward MG, Knip M, Akerblom HK; The Childhood Diabetes in Finland Study Group. Obesity, increased linear growth, and risk of type 1 diabetes in children. *Diabetes Care*. 2000;23: 1755–1760
- Das UN. Is obesity an inflammatory condition? Nutrition. 2001;17: 953–966
- Lipton RB, Fivecoate JA. High risk of IDDM in African-American and Hispanic children in Chicago, 1985–1990. Diabetes Care. 1995;18:476–482
- Lipton R, Keenan H, Onyemere KU, Freels S. Incidence and onset features of diabetes in African American and Latino children, Chicago, 1985–1994. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2002;18:135–142
- Word L, Perkins R. Building a Spanish Surname List for the 1990's—A New Approach to an Old Problem. Washington, DC: Population Division, US Bureau of the Census; 1996
- 12. Sekar CC, Deming WE. On a method of estimating birth and death rates and the extent of registration. *J Am Stat Assoc.* 1949;1949:101–115
- National Center for Health Statistics. CDC Growth Charts: United States. Advance Data No. 314. Vital and Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2000
- Lipton RB, Zierold KM, Drum ML, Klein-Gitelman M, Kohrman AF. Re-hospitalization after diagnosis of diabetes varies by gender and socioeconomic status in African American and Latino young people. *Pediatr Diabetes*. 2002;3:16–22
- Jones KL. Non-insulin-dependent diabetes in children and adolescents: the therapeutic challenge. *Clin Pediatr*. 1998;37:103–110
- Scott CR, Smith JM, Cradock MM, Pihoker C. Characteristics of youthonset noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus at diagnosis. *Pediatrics*. 1997;100:84–91
- United States Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. 1990 and 2000 Population Reports. Washington, DC; 1991 and 2001
- Libman IM, Pietropaolo M, Arslanian SA, LaPorte RE, Becker DJ. Changing prevalence of overweight children and adolescents at onset of insulin-treated diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2003;26:2871–2875
- Libman IM, Pietropaolo M, Arslanian SA, LaPorte RE, Becker DJ. Evidence for heterogeneous pathogenesis of insulin-treated diabetes in black and white children. *Diabetes Care*. 2003;26:2876–2882
- Onkamo P, Vaananen S, Karvonen M, Tuomilehto J. Worldwide increase in incidence of type I diabetes—the analysis of the data on published incidence trends. *Diabetologia*. 1999;42:1395–1403
- Karvonen M, Viik-Kajander M, Moltchanova E, Libman I, LaPorte R, Tuomilehto J. Incidence of childhood type 1 diabetes worldwide. Diabetes Mondiale (DiaMond) Project Group. *Diabetes Care.* 2000;23: 1516–1526
- Macaluso CJ, Bauer UE, Deeb LC, et al. Type 2 diabetes among Florida children and adolescents, 1994–1998. Public Health Rep. 2002;117: 373–379

Obesity at the Onset of Diabetes in an Ethnically Diverse Population of Children: What Does It Mean for Epidemiologists and Clinicians? Rebecca B. Lipton, Melinda Drum, Deborah Burnet, Barry Rich, Andrew Cooper,

Rebecca B. Lipton, Melinda Drum, Deborah Burnet, Barry Rich, Andrew Cooper, Elizabeth Baumann and William Hagopian *Pediatrics* 2005;115;e553-e560

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2004-1448

Updated Information & Services	including high-resolution figures, can be found at: http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/115/5/e553
References	This article cites 18 articles, 7 of which you can access for free at: http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/115/5/e553#BIBL
Citations	This article has been cited by 4 HighWire-hosted articles: http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/115/5/e553#otherarticl es
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Endocrinology http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/collection/endocrinology
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.pediatrics.org/misc/Permissions.shtml
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://www.pediatrics.org/misc/reprints.shtml

